



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,509	02/15/2002	Jung-Lin Pan	1-2-178.3US	5390	
24374 75	. 06/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	KOENIG, P.C.		BLOUNT, STEVEN		
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZ	ZA, SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 SOUTH 177	•	2661	8		
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEP. AENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark unice Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	•		
•		f	
		<u> </u>	EXAMINER
		·	•
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
5.		ļ- ·	0
•		<u></u>	<i>O</i> !
	•	DATE	MAILED.

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

X 1	HE PERIOD FOR F	RESPONSE:					
a) [ls extended to ru	ın	or continues	to run	from the date of the final rejection		
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the malling date of this Advisory Action, whichever is late event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	Any extension of The date on white burposes of dete	6(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee ne date of the response and also the date for the at of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
X	ppellants Brief is the	ue in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.1920	a).			
₩ K	oplicant's response place the applicati	sidered with the following effect, but It is not deemed					
1. C	The proposed am	nendments to the	daim and /or specifi	cation will not be entered	d and the final rejection stands because:		
a. There is:no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was							
	b. They raise	new issues that v	vould require further	consideration and/or sea	arch. (See Note).		
	c. 🔲 They raise	the issue of new	matter. (See Note).				
	d. They are a appeal.	not deemed to pla	ace the application in	better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the issues for		
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:						
							
2.	Newly proposed the non-allowable	or amended clair claims.	ns	_ would be allowed if s	ubmitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling		
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the obe as follows:							
	Claims allowed:				•		
	Claims objected t						
	Claims rejected: .				The transfer of		
	Howeve	•			•••		
	∐j Applicant's m	esponse has over	come the following	rejection(s):			
4.	The affidavit, exh	albit or request for tached "R	reconsideration has	been considered but do	es not overcome the rejection because		
5. 🗌	The affidavit or ex presented.	chibit will not be c	onsidered because a	applicant has not shown	good and sufficent reasons why it was not learlier		
□ Th	proposed drawing	correction	has has not be	en approved by the exa	miner		
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Pro 892 EndoSel with cited References							
	(10 0)	الم المساور	e with city	" Keterane)			

RESPONSE TO AFTER FINAL

- 1) AAPA is cited for multiple chip rate sampling. See page 5, lines 10+.
- 2) A is a channel response matrix.
- 3) It is well known that a circulant matrix is a special kind of Toeplitz matrix (see references cited) and that to condition the matrix by subdividing it into submatrices of Toeplitz form before before approximating each matrix by its equivalent circulant matrix would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to exploit the known numerical advantages (reduced processing time) of using Toeplitz matrices.